

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/634,104

Applicant(s)

MEHRABI ET AL.

Examiner

Stephen J. Lechert Jr.

Art Unit

1732

All Participants:

(1) Stephen J. Lechert Jr.

(2) Heidi Boehlefeld.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Provisional Obviousness-type double patenting rejection

Claims discussed:

1-65

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative indicated that they will file a terminal disclaimer in the later filed 10/734,379 application, which obviates the provisional-obviousness type double patenting rejection, the claims are free of the prior art.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)